IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.185 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.356 OF 2006

Suman Raghla Pardhi ...Applicant

vs.

1.The State, U.T. of
Dadra and Nagar Haveli

2. The State of Maharashtra ...Respondents

Mr.C.M.Kothari for the Applicant
Mr.D.A.Nalawade for Union of India
Mr.S.R.Shinde, A.P.P. for State

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : OCTOBER 7,2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocate appearing for the Applicant and Shri Nalawade for the first Respondent. I have perused the impugned judgments and notes of evidence. The conviction of the applicant is under sections 304-A and 337 of the Indian Penal Code. The maximum substantive sentence is of two months. The Applicant was on bail throughout the trial and during the pendency of the Appeal. Hence, he deserves to be enlarged on bail.

2. Hence, I pass the following order :

i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on the same bail on which he was enlarged during the pendency of trial subject to filing fresh bail bonds.

JUDGE